arXiv:1512.09345v1 [math.GT] 31 Dec 2015

ON THE TRACELESS SU(2) CHARACTER VARIETY OF THE 6-PUNCTURED 2-SPHERE

PAUL KIRK

ABSTRACT. We exhibit the traceless $SU(2)$ character variety of a 6-punctured 2-sphere as a 2-fold branched cover of $\mathbb{C}P^3$, branched over the singular Kummer surface, with the branch locus in $R(S^2, 6)$ corresponding to the binary dihedral representations. This follows from an analysis of the map induced on $SU(2)$ character varieties by the 2-fold branched cover $F_{n-1} \to S^2$ branched over $2n$ points, combined with the theorem of Narasimhan-Ramanan which identifies $R(F_2)$ with $\mathbb{C}P^3$. The singular points of $R(S^2, 6)$ correspond to abelian representations, and we prove that each has a neighborhood in $R(S^2, 6)$ homeomorphic to a cone on $S^2 \times S^3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The traceless character variety of a k-punctured 2-sphere is the space $R(S^2, k)$ of conjugacy classes of $SU(2)$ representations of the fundamental group of $S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k$ which send each loop encircling a puncture to a traceless matrix in $SU(2)$. It contains a binary dihedral locus $R(S^2, k)_B$ and an abelian locus $R(S^2, k)_{\text{ab}}$ (see Definitions 2.6 and 2.2) satisfying $R(S^2, k)_{\text{ab}} \subset R(S^2, k)_B \subset R(S^2, k)$.

Similarly, for a closed orientable surface, let $R(F)$ denote the space of conjugacy classes of $SU(2)$ representations of the fundamental group of F. It contains an abelian locus $R(F)_{\text{ab}}$ and a central locus $R(F)_{\text{cen}}$, with $R(F)_{\text{cen}} \subset R(F)_{\text{ab}} \subset R(F)$.

Let F_2 denote the closed orientable genus 2-surface and $p : F_2 \to S^2$ the 2-fold branched cover, branched over six points. A theorem of Ramanan-Seshadri identifies $R(F_2)$ with $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and its abelian locus $R(F_2)_{\text{ab}}$ with the singular Kummer surface $K \cong \mathbb{T}^4/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Note that K is a singular complex surface with 16 nodal singularities.

We summarize the main results of this article in Theorem 1, which is a amalgam of the more precise statements of Theorems 4.1, 4.4,and 4.5.

Theorem 1.

i. *The 2-fold branched cover $p : F_2 \to S^2$ branched over six points induces a 2-fold branched cover $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 6) \to R(F_2)$, branched over $K \cong R(F_2)_{\text{ab}}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^3 \cong R(F_2)$, with branch set in $R(S^2, 6)$ equal to the binary dihedral locus $R(S^2, 6)_B \subset R(S^2, 6)$.*

ii. *The set of 16 singular points of $R(S^2, 6)$ is equal to the abelian locus $R(S^2, 6)_{\text{ab}}$, and its image in $R(F_2)$ is the central locus $R(F_2)_{\text{cen}}$. With the identification $\mathbb{C}P^3 \cong$*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M05, 53D30.

Key words and phrases. surface, punctured sphere, character variety, $SU(2)$.

The author thanks the Simons Foundation for its support through the collaboration grant 278714 and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for its support during the Fall 2015 semester.

$R(F_2)$, *the singular points in* $R(S^2, 6)$ *correspond precisely to the preimages of the 16 nodal singularities of* $K \subset \mathbb{C}P^3$.

iii. *A neighborhood of each of these 16 points is homeomorphic to a cone on* $S^2 \times S^3$.

We also point the reader to Corollary 4.3, which gives an explicit construction of the representations in the fiber over a point of the 2-fold branched cover $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 6) \to R(F_2)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the details of the proofs of few results about the spaces $R(S^2, k)$, some of which are known, some of which are folklore, and some of which appear to be new. We give careful but elementary proofs of the results in the following theorem, which summarizes the statements of Propositions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.7, and Theorems 2.5 and 3.3.

Theorem 2.

i. *If* k *is odd,* $R(S^2, k)$ *is smooth of dimension* $2k - 6$ *and admits a Morse function with only even index critical points.*

ii. $R(S^2, 2n) \setminus R(S^2, 2n)_{\text{ab}}$ *is smooth of dimension* $4n - 6$.

iii. $R(S^2, 2n)_B$ *is homeomorphic to* $\mathbb{T}^{2n-2}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ *for the involution on the* $2n - 2$*-torus which takes each coordinate to its complex conjugate.*

iv. $R(S^2, 2n)_{\text{ab}}$ *is a finite set with* 2^{2n-2} *points. Each* $\rho \in R(S^2, 2n)_{\text{ab}}$ *has a neighborhood in* $R(S^2, 2n)$ *homeomorphic to a cone on* $(S^{2n-3} \times S^{2n-3})/S^1$ *for some free* S^1 *action, and a neighborhood in* $R(S^2, 2n)_B$ *homeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}P^{2n-3}$.

v. *Multiplying by a central character* $c : \pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n} \to \{\pm 1\}$ *induces a* $\mathbb{Z}/2$ *action on* $R(S^2, 2n)$ *with fixed set* $R(S^2, 2n)_B$. *The orbit space of this involution embeds in* $R(F_{n-1})$. *The embedding is not surjective if* $n > 3$.

The spaces $R(S^2, k)$ for $k < 6$ are identified in Section 2.

The results of this article are motivated by the project of constructing a Lagrangian-Floer theory for tangle decomposition of knots (see [8, 9]) which is expected to form a computationally simpler counterpart to the singular instanton Floer homology of knots constructed by Kronheimer-Mrowka [15, 16]. In particular, the proposed Lagrangian-Floer theory takes place in the symplectic manifolds $R(S^2, 2n) \setminus R(S^2, 2n)_{\text{ab}}$, and the present article provides details about the structure of the ends of this manifold. We refer the interested reader to the articles [8, 9, 7, 4] for more details of this relationship.

Thanks to Dan Ramras, Chris Herald, Matthias Kreck, and Michael Heusener for very helpful discussions. In particular, Ramras brought the reference [20] to the author's attention. The author also wishes to acknowledge the influence of the results in the unpublished article [12] of Jacobsson and Rubinsztein on this article, in particular on his finding the correct statement of part iv of Theorem 2.

2. Properties of the traceless character varieties of punctured spheres

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the quaternions, and identify $SU(2)$ with the group of unit quaternions. The Lie algebra $su(2)$ is spanned by $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$. Let $\text{Re} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function which returns the real part of a quaternion; this corresponds to half the trace. Let $S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ denote the conjugacy class of $\mathbf{i}$, so that

$$S^2_{\mathbf{i}} = \text{Re}^{-1}(0) = su(2) \cap SU(2) = \{a\mathbf{i} + b\mathbf{j} + c\mathbf{k} \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\} = \{q \in SU(2) \mid q^2 = -1\}.$$

Each unit quaternion can be written in the form $e^{\alpha P} = \cos\alpha + \sin\alpha P$ for $P \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$. This description is unique for unit quaternions different than ± 1 if one chooses $0 < \alpha < \pi$. The maximal abelian groups in $SU(2)$ are the circles $\{e^{\alpha P}\}$ for $P \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$. Two such subgroups either coincide or intersect in the center $\{\pm 1\}$. In particular, if $p, q \in SU(2)$ commute and $p = e^{\alpha P}$ for some α satisfying $\sin\alpha \neq 0$, then $q = e^{\beta P}$ for some β.

The function $\mathrm{Re} : SU(2) \to \mathbb{R}$ on unit quaternions corresponds to one half the trace on $SU(2)$ matrices. Hence $\mathrm{Re}(pq) = \mathrm{Re}(qp)$ for $p, q \in SU(2)$. The preimages $\mathrm{Re}^{-1}(x)$, $x \in [-1, 1]$ are precisely the conjugacy classes in $SU(2)$. Every circle subgroup of $SU(2)$ intersects $S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ in two points, $\pm Q = S^2_{\mathbf{i}} \cap \{e^{\beta Q}\}$. The conjugation action of $e^{\beta Q}$ on $S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ is rotation of angle 2β with fixed points $\pm Q$.

The bilinear form $su(2) \times su(2) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $-\mathrm{Re}(vw)$ is positive definite, and invariant under the conjugation action of $SU(2)$. The bilinear form $-\mathrm{Re}(vw)$ is not positive definite on all of $\mathbb{H}$, but when $v \in su(2)$ and $w \in \mathbb{H}$, $-\mathrm{Re}(vw) = 0$ if and only if v and w are perpendicular.

Definition 2.1. Given a compact manifold M, the $SU(2)$ *character variety of* M is defined to be the topological space

$$R(M) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), SU(2))/_{\text{conjugation}}$$

Given an manifold M containing a codimension two submanifold $L \subset M$, call a loop $\mu \in \pi_1(M \setminus L)$ a *meridian* provided μ is homologous in $M \setminus L$ to one of the boundary curves of any small normal disk to L. Then *the traceless* $SU(2)$ *character variety of* (M, L) is defined to be the space

$$R(M, L) = \{\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M \setminus L), SU(2)) \mid \mathrm{Re}(\rho(\mu)) = 0 \text{ for all meridians } \mu\}/_{\text{conjugation}}.$$

Although they are traditionally called character varieties, the spaces $R(M)$ and $R(M, L)$ are in general real semi-algebraic affine sets (see [17, 2, 3]). We will use the following naive notion: call a point p in an affine real semi-algebraic set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ a *smooth point* if p has a neighborhood U in $\mathbb{R}^N$ so that the pair $(U, U \cap V)$ is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k)$ for some k. Otherwise call p a *singular point*.

When M and L are oriented, one can define character varieties for codimension two pairs (M, L) for other traces. More precisely, fix a conjugacy class in $SU(2)$ for each component of L and restrict to homomorphisms which take each oriented meridian to its corresponding conjugacy class. These more general character varieties, which make a brief appearance in the present article in Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 below, are well studied objects when (M, L) is a surface and L set of points, and typically for *generic* choices of traces the traceless character varieties are smooth and stable under small changes of the choice of conjugacy classes. The focus in this article on the traceless case is motivated by the relationship (explained in [8, 9]) to Kronheimer-Mrowka's *singular instanton homology* [15, 16], which they prove is only well-defined in the traceless context.

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset S^2$ be a collection of k distinct points in S^2, and give $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k)$ the presentation

$$\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \mid x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = 1 \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where x_i denotes the meridian which goes around the puncture a_i.

To streamline notation, we will denote the traceless $SU(2)$ character variety of $(S^2, \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k)$ simply by $R(S^2, k)$.

For small k the following examples are known. When $k = 0$, $R(S^2, 0)$ contains a single point, namely the trivial representation. For $k = 1$, $R(S^2, 1)$ is empty since $\pi(S^2 \setminus \{a_1\}) = 1$ and hence admits no traceless representations. The space $R(S^2, 2)$ is $S^2_{\mathbf{i}}/SU(2)$, which consists of a single point. Every $\rho \in R(S^2, 3)$ is conjugate to the representation $x_1 \mapsto \mathbf{i}, x_2 \mapsto \mathbf{j}, x_3 \mapsto -\mathbf{k}$. Thus $R(S^2, 3)$ consists of a single point. It is well known that $R(S^2, 4)$ is the *pillowcase*, the quotient of the 2-torus by the hyperelliptic involution, a space homeomorphic to the 2-sphere. For one proof see [8].

For $k = 5$, it is known (see e.g. [22]) that $R(S^2, 5)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$. This can be seen from [14, Section 4] by observing first that Proposition 2.3 below (specifically, the submersivity of f and the fact that $R(S^2, 5)_{\text{ab}}$ is empty) implies that $R(S^2, 5)$ is diffeomorphic to $R_\epsilon(S^2, 5)$, where $R_\epsilon(S^2, 5)$ is defined by requiring representations to be traceless on x_1, x_3, x_5 but have trace $-\epsilon$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$ on x_2, x_4. Since $R(S^2, 5)$ is smooth, $R(S^2, 5)$ and $R_\epsilon(S^2, 5)$ are diffeomorphic for small enough ϵ, and the resulting configuration space of linkages in S^3 corresponds to the 12th polygon in [14, Figure 7]. Hence, by the results of that article, $R(S^2, 5)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$.

Definition 2.2. Define the *abelian locus* $R(S^2, k)_{\text{ab}} \subset R(S^2, k)$ to consist of conjugacy classes of representations with abelian image.

When k is even, $R(S^2, k)_{\text{ab}}$ is a finite set containing 2^{k-2} points. It is indexed by $\epsilon_2, \cdots, \epsilon_{k-2} \in \{\pm 1\}$, corresponding to the representations

$$x_1 \mapsto \mathbf{i},\ x_\ell \mapsto \epsilon_\ell \mathbf{i} \text{ for } 1 < \ell < n, x_k \mapsto \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \dots \epsilon_{n-1} \mathbf{i}.$$

When k is odd, $R(S^2, k)_{\text{ab}}$ is empty, since a product of k commuting traceless elements cannot equal 1.

Define the polynomial map

$$f : (S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{k-1} \to \mathbb{R},\ f(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) = \text{Re}(q_1 \dots q_{k-1}) \tag{2}$$

The map f is invariant with respect to the diagonal $SU(2)$ conjugation action.

For convenience, denote $SU(2)/\{\pm 1\}$ by $PU(2)$. The conjugation action of $SU(2)$ on itself, and hence on representations, factors through $PU(2)$.

Let $A \subset (S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{k-1}$ denote the set

$$A = \{(\pm q, \dots, \pm q) \mid q \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}\}.$$

Thus A is a disjoint union of 2^{k-2} 2-spheres, and $A/PU(2)$ is a collection of 2^{k-2} points.

Much of the following proposition is known; see e.g. [18, 10]. We include its simple proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.3.

i. *The conjugation action of $PU(2)$ on $(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{k-1} \setminus A$ is free.*
ii. *The restriction of the map f of Equation (2) to $(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{k-1} \setminus A$ is a submersion.*
iii. *The map*

$$\Phi : R(S^2, k) \to (S^2)^{k-1}/PU(2),\ \Phi(\rho) = [\rho(x_1), \dots, \rho(x_{k-1})]$$

induces an homeomorphism

$$R(S^2,k) \cong f^{-1}(0)/PU(2)$$

which takes $R(S^2,k)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ *to* $A/PU(2)$.

iv. $R(S^2,k) \setminus R(S^2,k)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ *is smooth of dimension* $2k-6$.

Proof. If $(q_1,\dots,q_{k-1}) \in (S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{k-1} \setminus A$, there exists a pair q_i, q_j which do not commute. The intersection of their stabilizers is therefore $\{\pm 1\}$, which proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, fix $(q_1,\cdots,q_{k-1}) \in f^{-1}(0) \setminus A$ and choose ℓ so that $q_\ell \neq \pm q_{\ell+1}$. Let $x = q_{\ell+2}q_{\ell+3}\dots q_{k-1}q_1q_2\dots q_{\ell-1}$. At least one of $q_\ell x$ and $q_{\ell+1}x$ is different from ± 1.

If $q_\ell x \neq \pm 1$, then $xq_\ell \neq \pm 1$, so that $xq_\ell = e^{\alpha R}$ for $R \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\sin\alpha \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathrm{Re}(q_1\dots q_{k-1}) = \mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}xq_\ell) = \mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}e^{\alpha R}) &= \cos\alpha\,\mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}) + \sin\alpha\,\mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}R) \\ &= \sin\alpha\,\mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}R). \end{aligned}$$

Define $q_{\ell+1}(t) = q_{\ell+1}e^{tR}$. Then $\mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}(t)) = \cos t\,\mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}) + \sin t\,\mathrm{Re}(q_{\ell+1}R) = 0$, so that $q_{\ell+1}(t) \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}(q_1\dots q_\ell q_{\ell+1}(t)q_{\ell+2}\dots q_{k-1}) &= \sin t\,\mathrm{Re}(q_1\dots q_\ell R q_{\ell+2}\dots q_{k-1}) \\ &= \sin t\,\mathrm{Re}(xq_\ell R) = \sin t\sin\alpha\,\mathrm{Re}(R^2) = -\sin t\sin\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f(q_1,\dots,q_{\ell+1}(t),\dots,q_{k-1}) = -\sin\alpha \neq 0$.

If $q_{\ell+1}x \neq \pm 1$, then write $q_{\ell+1}x = e^{\alpha R}$ with $\sin\alpha \neq 0$. Define $q_\ell(t)$ to be $q_\ell e^{tR}$. A similar computation shows that $q_\ell(t) \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f(q_1,\dots,q_\ell(t),\dots,q_{k-1}) = -\sin\alpha \neq 0$.

The third assertion follows from the fact that if $f(q_1,\dots,q_{k-1}) = 0$, then the homomorphism $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}) \to SU(2)$ sending x_i to q_i for $i < k$ and x_k to $(q_1\dots q_{k-1})^{-1}$ lies in $R(S^2,k)$. Moreover, it lies in $R(S^2,k)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ if and only if the q_i all commute, which happens exactly when $(q_1,\dots,q_{k-1}) \in A$.

The fourth assertion follows from the first three and the implicit function theorem

□

When k is odd, $R(S^2,k)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is empty and hence $R(S^2,k)$ is smooth. Since $R(S^2,2n+1)$ is smooth, its diffeomorphism type is unchanged by small perturbations of the traceless condition. More precisely, changing the conditions $\mathrm{Re}(\rho(x_i)) = 0$ to $\mathrm{Re}(\rho(x_i)) = \delta_i$ for some small non-zero δ_i does not change the character variety, since the conjugacy class defined by the condition $\mathrm{Re} = \delta$ is a 2-sphere close to $S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ and the submersivity of f is a stable property. The relation $x_1\cdots x_{2n+1} = 1$ shows that these character varieties can be identified with the configuration space of linkages of length r_i for some generic r_i close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, as in [14]. Theorem 3.1 of [14] then implies the following.

Proposition 2.4. $R(S^2,2n+1)$ *is a smooth* $2n-6$ *manifold which admits a Morse function with only even index critical points.* □

For k even, $R(S^2,2n)$ has a $4n-6$ dimensional smooth locus and a finite singular locus $R(S^2,2n)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ consisting of 2^{2n-2} points (see e.g. [7]).

Theorem 2.5. *Each point in* $R(S^2,2n)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ *has a neighborhood in* $R(S^2,2n)$ *homeomorphic to a cone on* $(S^{2n-3}\times S^{2n-3})/S^1$*, for some free action of* S^1 *on* $S^{2n-3}\times S^{2n-3}$.

Theorem 2.5 can be derived from Theorem A.7 in the unpublished article [12] which considers the representation variety rather than the character variety. For completeness and because we need the details of the construction below, we give a proof of Theorem 2.5 in Appendix A. Our approach is similar to the argument of [12]. Our proof is shorter, as we use some tricks to streamline the verification of the non-degeneracy of the Hessian and to calculate its signature.

Definition 2.6. Define the *binary dihedral locus* $R(S^2,k)_{\mathrm{B}} \subset R(S^2,k)$ to consist of conjugacy classes representations conjugate to representations with image in the binary dihedral subgroup

$$B = \{e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\} \cup \{e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\} \subset SU(2)$$

and which, in addition, take each x_ℓ into the coset $\{e^{\theta \mathbf{i}}\mathbf{j}\}$. Since $\mathrm{Re}(e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}) = 0$, $R(S^2,k)_{\mathrm{B}} \subset R(S^2,k)$.

We emphasize that, with this definition, $\mathrm{Image}(\rho) \subset B$ does not imply that $\rho \in R(S^2,k)_B$. For example, the representation $\rho \in R(S^2,3)$ taking x_1 to $\mathbf{i}$, x_2 to $\mathbf{j}$ and x_3 to $-\mathbf{k}$ has image in B but does not lie in $R(S^2,3)_B$ since $-\mathbf{k} \neq e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}$ for any θ.

When k is odd, $R(S^2,k)_B$ is empty. This is because product of an odd number of elements of the index 2 coset $\{e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$ lies again in this coset and hence cannot equal the identity. Note that

$$R(S^2,2n)_{\mathrm{ab}} \subset R(S^2,2n)_B.$$

Let $\mathbb{T}^\ell$ denote the ℓ-torus, $\mathbb{T}^\ell = (S^1)^\ell$.

Proposition 2.7. *The binary dihedral subspace $R(S^2,2n)_B$ homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{2n-2}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$, where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on the $(2n-2)$-torus by $(e^{\alpha_1 \mathbf{i}},\dots,e^{\alpha_{2n-2}\mathbf{i}}) \mapsto (e^{-\alpha_1 \mathbf{i}},\dots,e^{-\alpha_{2n-2}\mathbf{i}})$. The homeomorphism identifies the fixed points of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action with the finite abelian subspace $R(S^2,2n)_{\mathrm{ab}} \subset R(S^2,2n)_B$. Hence a neighborhood of $\rho \in R(S^2,2n)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ in $R(S^2,2n)_B$ is homeomorphic to a cone on $\mathbb{R}P^{2n-3}$.*

Proof. Since $e^{-(\theta/2)\mathbf{i}}e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}e^{(\theta/2)\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{i}$, any binary dihedral representation ρ can be conjugated so that $\rho(x_1) = \mathbf{i}$ and $\rho(x_\ell) = e^{\theta_\ell \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}$ for $\ell > 1$. The relation $x_1 \dots x_{2n} = 1$ implies that

$$(-1)^n e^{(-\theta_2+\theta_3+\cdots-\theta_{2n})\mathbf{k}} = 1,$$

so that $\rho(x_{2n}) = e^{(n\pi-\theta_2+\theta_3-\cdots+\theta_{2n-1})\mathbf{k}}$. Taking $\theta_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ for $i = 2,\dots,n-1$ and $\theta_{2n} = n\pi - \theta_2 + \theta_3 - \cdots + \theta_{2n-1}$ therefore gives a surjection $\mathbb{T}^{2n-2} \to R(S^2,2n)_B$. Conjugation by $\mathbf{i}$ preserves B and takes $e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}$ to $e^{-\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}$. It follows that this surjection factors through the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action, and it is easy to see that the resulting map $\mathbb{T}^{2n-2}/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \to R(S^2,2n)_B$ is injective, and hence a homeomorphism.

The fixed points of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action on $\mathbb{T}^{2n-2}$ are the 2^{2n-2} points $(\pm 1,\dots,\pm 1)$. These correspond via $\mathbb{T}^{2n-2} \to R(S^2,2n)_B$ precisely to the abelian representations $\rho(x_i) = \pm \mathbf{i}$. □

3. 2-fold covers

Consider the homomorphism

$$\alpha : \pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k) \to \{\pm 1\},\ \alpha(x_i) = -1. \tag{3}$$

Note that this only makes sense when k is even, so we asume that $k = 2n$. Then α defines a 2-fold cyclic branched cover $p : F \to S^2$ branched over $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula identifies F as an orientable closed surface of genus $n-1$, and so we denote it by F_{n-1}. Denote the preimage $p^{-1}(a_i)$ by $\tilde{a}_i$.

Identify $\pi_1(F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\})$ with $\ker \alpha$ via the induced injection

$$p_* : \pi_1(F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}) \to \pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}).$$

Notice that $x_i^2 \in \pi_1(F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\})$ and in fact represents the meridian of $\tilde{a}_i$ in $F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}$.

Recall that $R(F_{n-1})$ denotes the $SU(2)$ character variety of the closed surface F_{n-1}. Denote by $R(F_{n-1})_{\text{ab}} \subset R(F_{n-1})$ the subvariety of representations with abelian image.

Definition 3.1. Let $R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{-1}$ and $R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_1$ be defined as

$$R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{\pm 1} = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}), SU(2)) \mid \rho(x_i^2) = \pm 1\}/_{\text{conjugation}}.$$

Restricting to $\ker \alpha$ induces a well defined function

$$p^* : R(S^2, 2n) \to R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{-1}.$$

This is because if $z \in SU(2)$ satisisfies $\text{Re}(z) = 0$, then $z^2 = -1$, hence $p^*(\rho)(x_i^2) = -1$.

The inclusion $i : F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\} \subset F_{n-1}$ induces a function

$$i^* : R(F_{n-1}) \to R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_1$$

since the meridians of $F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}$ are nullhomotopic in F_{n-1}.

Let $\rho_0 \in R(S^2, 2n)_{\text{ab}}$ denote the (conjugacy class of the) representation sending every x_i to $\mathbf{i}$. Then define $c \in R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{-1}$ by $c = p^*(\rho_0)$. Since ρ_0 sends every loop in $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\})$ to $\{\pm 1, \pm \mathbf{i}\}$ it follows that c takes values in the center $\{\pm 1\} \subset SU(2)$, and takes the value -1 on each meridian of $F_{n-1} \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}$.

Proposition 3.2.

i. *Pointwise multiplication by c induces a homeomorphism*

$$c_* : R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{-1} \to R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_1.$$

ii. *The restriction $i^* : R(F_{n-1}) \to R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_1$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. The pointwise product of a representation with a central representation is again a representation. Thus c induces maps $R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{-1} \to R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_1$ and $R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_1 \to R(F_{n-1}, 2n)_{-1}$; these maps are inverses of each other since $c^2 = 1$. The second statement follows from the Seifert-Van kampen theorem. □

Denote by $\tilde{p}$ the composite

$$\tilde{p} = i^* c_* p^* : R(S^2, 2n) \to R(F_{n-1}) \tag{4}$$

Pointwise multiplication by α, defined in Equation (3), determines a homeomorphism of order 2:

$$\alpha_* : R(S^2, 2n) \to R(S^2, 2n). \tag{5}$$

Some of the statements of the following theorem are known to experts, for example see [4, 21]. We include its proof in Appendix B for convenience.

Theorem 3.3.

i. *The fixed point set of the involution α_* is $R(S^2, 2n)_B$.*
ii. *$\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 2n) \to R(F_{n-1})$ induces an injection $R(S^2, 2n)/\langle \alpha_* \rangle \subset R(F_{n-1})$.*
iii. *The image of $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 2n)_B \to R(F_{n-1})$ is precisely $R(F_{n-1})_{\text{ab}}$.*

4. The traceless character variety $R(S^2, 6)$

For a closed surface F_g of genus g, it is known (see e.g. [1, 5]) that

$$R(F_g) = R(F_g)_{\text{cen}} \sqcup R(F_g)^*_{\text{ab}} \sqcup R(F_g)_{\text{irr}} \tag{6}$$

where $R(F_g)_{\text{cen}}$ denotes the conjugacy classes of central representations (those with value in the center $\{\pm 1\} \subset SU(2)$), $R(F_g)^*_{\text{ab}} = R(F_g)_{\text{ab}} \setminus R(F_g)^*_{\text{cen}}$ and $R(F_g)_{\text{irr}} = R(F_g) \setminus R(F_g)_{\text{ab}}$. The central locus $R(F_g)_{\text{cen}}$ is a finite set of 2^{2g} points, the abelian, non-central locus $R(F_g)^*_{\text{ab}}$ is a smooth semi-algebraic set of dimension $2g$, and the irreducible locus $R(F_g)_{\text{irr}}$ is smooth of dimension $6g - 6$.

Theorem 3.3 can be summarized in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R(S^2, 2n)_{\text{ab}} & \hookrightarrow & R(S^2, 2n)_B & \hookrightarrow & R(S^2, 2n) \\ \| \tilde{p}_{\text{ab}} & & \| \tilde{p}_B & & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ R(F_{n-1})_{\text{cen}} & \hookrightarrow & R(F_{n-1})_{\text{ab}} & \hookrightarrow & R(F_{n-1}) \end{array} \tag{7}$$

with the restrictions $\tilde{p}_{\text{ab}}, \tilde{p}_B$ homeomorphisms, and the right vertical $\tilde{p}$ the quotient of the involution α_* onto its image. When $n = 1$ all the spaces in the diagram contain only one point. When $n = 2$ the upper and lower right inclusions are equalities and all vertical maps homeomorphisms. Indeed, it is known (see e.g. [11, 18] and [8, Proposition 3.1]) that $R(S^2, 4) = R(S^2, 4)_B$, so that α_* acts trivially on $R(S^2, 4)$. Moreover since a genus 1 surface has abelian fundamental group, $R(F_{n-1})_{\text{ab}} = R(F_{n-1})$. As mentioned above, $R(S^2, 4)$ and $R(F_1)$ are homeomorphic to the *pillowcase*, a topological 2-sphere obtained as the quotient of the hyperelliptic involution on the torus.

When $n > 2$, the dimensions of the top strata of the semi-algebraic sets on the top line of (7) are (using Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.7) $0, 2n - 2, 4n - 3$ and in the bottom line $0, 2n - 2, 6n - 12$. Thus for dimensional reasons, when $n > 3$, $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 2n) \to R(F_{n-1})$ cannot be surjective, and hence $\tilde{p} : R(S^2; 2n)/\langle \alpha_* \rangle \subset R(F_{n-1})$ cannot be a homeomorphism.

Thus the interesting case is when $n = 3$. The following theorem shows that $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 6) \to R(F_2)$ is a surjective, and hence $R(F_2)$ is the orbit space of $R(S^2, 6)$ by the involution α_* with fixed point set $R(S^2, 6)_B$.

Theorem 4.1. *The map $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 6) \to R(F_2)$ is surjective.*

The proof of Theorem 4.1 relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $a, b, c, d \in SU(2)$ satisfy $abcd = dcba$. Then there exists $x \in SU(2)$ satisfying*

$$0 = \text{Re}(x) = \text{Re}(xa) = \text{Re}(xb) = \text{Re}(xc) = \text{Re}(xd) = \text{Re}(x(abcd)^{-1})$$

Proof. A lengthy but uninteresting calculation shows that if $a', b', c', d' \in SU(2)$ satisfy $0 = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}a') = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}b') = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}c') = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}d')$, then $\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}a'b'c'd') = -\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}d'c'b'a')$. Conjugating by $g \in SU(2)$ one may replace $\mathbf{i}$ by any purely imaginary quaternion x and reach the same conclusion. Therefore, if $x \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ satisfies

$$0 = \mathrm{Re}(x) = \mathrm{Re}(xa) = \mathrm{Re}(xb) = \mathrm{Re}(xc) = \mathrm{Re}(xd), \tag{8}$$

then $\mathrm{Re}(xdcba) = \mathrm{Re}(xabcd) = -\mathrm{Re}(xdcba)$ and hence $\mathrm{Re}(xabcd) = 0$, which, since $\mathrm{Re}(x) = 0$, implies $\mathrm{Re}(x(abcd)^{-1}) = 0$. Hence it suffices to find an x satisfying Equation (8).

Suppose first that $[a, b] \neq 1$. Since $\mathrm{Re}(ab - ba) = 0$, $x = \frac{ab-ba}{\|ab-ba\|}$ is a unit quaternion satisfying $\mathrm{Re}(x) = 0$. The identities

$$d^{-1}(abc)d = cba \text{ and } c^{-1}(d^{-1}ab)c = bad^{-1}$$

follow from $abcd = dcba$, and hence $\mathrm{Re}(abc) = \mathrm{Re}(cba)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(d^{-1}ab) = \mathrm{Re}(bad^{-1})$. Therefore $\mathrm{Re}((ab-ba)c) = 0$, which implies $\mathrm{Re}(xc) = 0$. Similarly $\mathrm{Re}(xd^{-1}) = 0$, and so $\mathrm{Re}(x) = 0$ implies that $\mathrm{Re}(xd) = 0$. Next, $\mathrm{Re}((ab - ba)a) = \mathrm{Re}(aba - ba^2) = 0$ so that $\mathrm{Re}(xa) = 0$; similarly $\mathrm{Re}(xb) = 0$. Thus x satisfies Equation (8), as desired.

If $[a, b] = 1$ but $[b, c] \neq 1$, then repeat the argument, taking $x = \frac{bc-cb}{\|bc-cb\|}$, using the identities $a(bcd)a^{-1} = dbc$ and $d^{-1}(abc)d = cba$.

If $1 = [a, b] = [b, c] = 1$ but $[c, d] \neq 1$, again repeat the argument, taking $x = \frac{cd-dc}{\|cd-dc\|}$. Next, if $1 = [a, b] = [b, c] = [c, d]$ but $[d, a] \neq 1$, then repeat, taking $x = \frac{da-ad}{\|da-ad\|}$.

If $1 = [a, b] = [b, c] = [c, d] = [d, a]$, then $bacd = abcd = dcba = dcab$, so repeating the steps above we can find an x satisfying Equation (8) provided $[a, c] \neq 1$ or $[b, d] \neq 1$.

If a, b, c, d pairwise commute, then they all lie in the same circle subgroup $\{e^{\theta Q}\}$ for some Q a purely imaginary unit quaternion. Choose x satisfying $\mathrm{Re}(x) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(xQ) = 0$ (for example, if $Q = g\mathbf{i}g^{-1}$, take $x = g\mathbf{j}g^{-1}$). Then $\mathrm{Re}(e^{\theta Q}x) = 0$ for all θ, so that x satisfies Equation (8), completing the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Figure 1 shows ten curves on a 6-punctured genus 2 surface $F_2 \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}_{i=1}^6$. Rotation of angle π about the horizontal axis is the deck transformation of the cover $p : F_2 \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\} \to S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}$.



FIGURE 1.

From the figure one reads off the presentation:

$$\pi_2 := \pi_1(F_2 \setminus \{\tilde{a}_i\}) = \langle r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, y_1, \dots, y_6 \mid r_1 y_3 s_1 y_2 r_1^{-1} y_1 s_1^{-1} r_2 y_6 s_2 y_5 r_2^{-1} y_4 s_2^{-1} = 1 \rangle.$$

The monomorphism to

$$\pi := \pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_6 \mid x_2 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 = 1 \rangle$$

induced by p is given by

$$p_*(y_i) = x_i^2,\ p_*(r_1) = x_1 x_2,\ p_*(s_1) = x_3^{-1} x_2^{-1},\ p_*(r_2) = x_4 x_5,\ p_*(s_2) = x_6^{-1} x_5^{-1}.$$

Given $\rho : \pi_2 \to SU(2)$ satisfying $\rho(y_i) = -1$, the task is to extend ρ to π. For clarity of exposition we abuse notation and identify generators of π_2 with their image under ρ. Thus we are given $r_i, s_i, y_i \in SU(2)$ satisfying the relation for π_2 and in addition $y_i = -1$, and we seek $x_i \in SU(2)$ satisfying

$$\text{Re}(x_i) = 0,\ r_1 = x_1 x_2,\ s_1 = x_3^{-1} x_2^{-1},\ r_2 = x_4 x_5,\ s_2 = x_6^{-1} x_5^{-1},\ \text{and } x_1 \dots x_6 = 1 \tag{9}$$

(recall that $x_i^2 = -1$ is equivalent to $\text{Re}(x_i) = 0$). Since $y_i = -1$ and -1 is central in $SU(2)$, the relation in the presentation of π_2 implies that the surface relation

$$[r_1, s_1][r_2, s_2] = 1$$

holds.

Define a, b, c, d, e by

$$a = r_1,\ b = s_1^{-1} r_1^{-1},\ c = s_2 s_1,\ d = s_1^{-1} r_2 s_2^{-1},\ e = r_2^{-1} s_1. \tag{10}$$

Then

$$cdeab = s_2 s_1 s_1^{-1} r_2 s_2^{-1} r_2^{-1} s_1 r_1 s_1^{-1} r_1^{-1} = [s_2, r_2][s_1, r_1] = ([r_1, s_1][r_2, s_2])^{-1} = 1$$

and

$$edcba = r_2^{-1} s_1 s_1^{-1} r_2 s_2^{-1} s_2 s_1 s_1^{-1} r_1^{-1} r_1 = 1$$

and hence

$$e^{-1} = abcd = dcba.$$

Lemma 4.2 implies that there exists an $x_1 \in SU(2)$ satisfying

$$0 = \text{Re}(x_1) = \text{Re}(x_1 a) = \text{Re}(x_1 b) = \text{Re}(x_1 c) = \text{Re}(x_1 d) = \text{Re}(x_1 e).$$

The assignment

$$x_2 = x_1^{-1} r_1,\ x_3 = r_1^{-1} x_1 s_1^{-1},\ x_4 = s_1 x_1^{-1} s_2,\ x_5 = s_2^{-1} x_1 s_1^{-1} r_2,\ x_6 = r_2^{-1} s_1 x_1^{-1} \tag{11}$$

is easily verified to satisfy (9). For example

$$\text{Re}(x_4) = \text{Re}(s_1 x_1^{-1} s_2) = \text{Re}(x_1^{-1} s_2 s_1) = -\text{Re}(x_1 c) = 0,$$

$$x_6^{-1} x_5^{-1} = x_1 s_1^{-1} r_2 r_2^{-1} s_1 x_1^{-1} s_2 = s_2,$$

and

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 = x_1 x_1^{-1} r_1 r_1^{-1} x_1 s_1^{-1} s_1 x_1^{-1} s_2 s_2^{-1} x_1 s_1^{-1} r_2 r_2^{-1} s_1 x_1^{-1} = 1.$$

We leave the rest of the verifications, which complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, to the reader. □

It is worth emphasizing that the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, and in particular Equations (10) and (11), explicitly give the extension of $\rho : \pi_1(F_2) \to SU(2)$ to a traceless representation of $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\}_{i=1}^6)$. We state this formally.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\rho : \pi_1(F_2) = \langle r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2 \mid [r_1, s_1][r_2, s_2]\rangle \to SU(2)$ be a representation of the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus 2. Define*

$$a = \rho(r_1),\ b = \rho(s_1^{-1}r_1^{-1}),\ c = \rho(s_2 s_1),\ d = \rho(s_1^{-1}r_2 s_2^{-1}),\ e = \rho(r_2^{-1}s_1).$$

Fix a sign $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. If $[a, b] \neq 1$, define $\rho_\epsilon(x_1) = \epsilon\frac{b-ba}{\|ab-ba\|}$. If $[a, b] = 1$ but $[b, c] \neq 1$, define $\rho_\epsilon(x_1) = \epsilon\frac{bc-cb}{\|bc-cb\|}$, and so forth, going through the ordered list of commutators $[a, b], [b, c], [c, d], [d, a], [a, c], [b, d]$. If all of these commutators are trivial, so that a, b, c, d lie in a subgroup $\{e^{\alpha Q}\}$, then define $\rho_\epsilon(x_1)$ to be P for any $P \in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Re}(PQ) = 0$. Set

$$\rho_\epsilon(x_2) = \rho_\epsilon(x_1)^{-1}\rho(r_1),\ \rho_\epsilon(x_3) = \rho(r_1)^{-1}\rho_\epsilon(x_1)\rho(s_1^{-1}),\ \rho_\epsilon(x_4) = \rho(s_1)\rho_\epsilon(x_1)^{-1}\rho(s_2),$$
$$\rho_\epsilon(x_5) = \rho(s_2^{-1})\rho_\epsilon(x_1)\rho(s_1^{-1}r_2),\ \rho_\epsilon(x_6) = \rho(r_2^{-1}s_1)\rho_\epsilon(x_1)^{-1}.$$

Then $\rho_{\pm 1} \in R(S^2, 6)$ and $\tilde{p}(\rho_\pm) = \rho$. The two choices $\rho_{\pm 1}$ represent the two conjugacy classes in the fiber of when ρ is non-abelian, and give conjugate binary dihedral representations when ρ is abelian. □

We turn now to a more precise identification of the singularities of $R(S^2, 6)$ and to a local description of the map $\tilde{p} : R(S^2, 6) \to R(F_2)$ near the singularities.

We first recall some aspects of the proof of Theorem 2.5. The cone neighborhoods of each point in $R(S^2, 6)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ are all identical. Let $\rho_0 \in R(S^2, 6)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ denote the representation which sends x_ℓ to $\mathbf{i}$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, 6$. Equation (17), rewritten in complex coordinates, shows that a neighborhood of ρ_0 in $R(S^2, 6)$ is homeomorphic to $h^{-1}(0)/S^1$, where

$$h : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{R},\ h(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}e^{-z_1\mathbf{j}}e^{z_2\mathbf{j}}e^{-z_3\mathbf{j}}e^{z_4\mathbf{j}}). \tag{12}$$

The correspondence $h^{-1}(0)/S^1 \cong \mathrm{nbd}(\rho_0)$ takes $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in h^{-1}(0)$ to the representation

$$x_1 \mapsto \mathbf{i},\ x_2 \mapsto e^{z_1\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i},\ x_3 \mapsto e^{z_2\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i},\ x_4 \mapsto e^{z_3\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i},\ x_5 \mapsto e^{z_4\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i},\ x_5 \mapsto (\mathbf{i}e^{z_1\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i}e^{z_2\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i}e^{z_2\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i}e^{z_3\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i}e^{z_4\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i})^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

The S^1 action is given by $e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (e^{2\theta\mathbf{i}}z_1, e^{2\theta\mathbf{i}}z_2, e^{2\theta\mathbf{i}}z_3, e^{2\theta\mathbf{i}}z_4)$. For convenience identify $S^1/\pm 1$ with S^1 and rewrite this action as $(e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}z_1, e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}z_2, e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}z_3, e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}z_4)$.

Let $\tau : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$ denote complex conjugation in each coordinate. Since $e^{\bar{z}\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{j}e^{z\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{j}^{-1}$,

$$h(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_4) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}e^{-\bar{z}_1\mathbf{j}}e^{\bar{z}_2\mathbf{j}}e^{-\bar{z}_3\mathbf{j}}e^{\bar{z}_4\mathbf{j}}) = -h(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4).$$

In particular, τ preserves $h^{-1}(0)$. Also, conjugating $-e^{z\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i}$ by $\mathbf{j}$ yields $e^{\bar{z}\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{i}$, and hence τ corresponds, via (13), to the action of α_* on $R(S^2, 6)$ (Equation (5)).

The fixed points of τ, namely $\mathbb{R}^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, lie in $h^{-1}(0)$ and correspond precisely to the binary dihedral representations. Intersecting with the unit sphere $S^7 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ gives

$$S^3 = \mathbb{R}^4 \cap S^7 \subset h^{-1}(0) \cap S^7 \subset S^7$$

Away from 0, $\mathbb{R}^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ meets each S^1 orbit in two points $\pm(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$. Hence taking the quotient by S^1,and applying Theorem 2.5 yields the following links of a neighborhood of the

singular point $\rho_0 \in R(S^2,6)_{\text{ab}}$ in $R(S^2,6)_B$ and $R(S^2,6)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Lk}(\rho_0, R(S^2,6)_B) & \hookrightarrow & & & \text{Lk}(\rho_0, R(S^2,6)) \\ \| & & & & \| \\ S^3/\pm 1 & \hookrightarrow & (h^{-1}(0)\cap S^7)/S^1 & \hookrightarrow & S^7/S^1 \\ \| & & \| & & \| \\ \mathbb{R}P^3 & \hookrightarrow & (S^3\times S^3)/S^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}P^3 \end{array} \tag{14}$$

with $\mathbb{R}P^3 \subset \mathbb{C}P^3$ the fixed points of τ.

Theorem 4.4. *The link of the singular point $\rho_0 \in R(S^2,6)_{ab}$ in $R(S^2,6)_B$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^3$ and its link in $R(S^2,6)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2\times S^3$. Moreover, the link $S^2\times S^3$ embeds in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, is invariant under complex conjugation, with fixed point set the link $\mathbb{R}P^3$.*

Proof. The only statement which is not covered by the discussion preceding the statement and Diagram (14) is the assertion that $(S^3\times S^3)/S^1$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2\times S^3$.

The main result of [6] shows that there are only two inequivalent free S^1 actions on $S^3\times S^3$, distinguished by the second Stiefel-Whitney class of their orbit space. Since the quotient $M = (S^3\times S^3)/S^1$ embeds in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ with codimension 1, its normal bundle is trivial, and hence $w_2(M) = w_2(\mathbb{C}P^3)|_M = 0$. Thus [6] shows that $M \cong S^2\times S^3$. □

Referring to Diagram (7), one sees that the map $\tilde{p} : R(S^2,6) \to R(F_2)$ induces a diagram of links of cone neighborhoods of ρ_0 and $\tilde{p}(\rho_0)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lk}(\rho_0, R(S^2,6)_B) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Lk}(\rho_0, R(S^2,6)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{p}_B} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{p}} \\ \text{Lk}(\tilde{p}(\rho_0), R(F_2)_{\text{ab}}) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Lk}(\tilde{p}(\rho_0), R(F_2)) \end{array} \tag{15}$$

The left vertical map is a homeomorphism since α_* fixes the binary dihedral locus. Hence $\text{Lk}(\tilde{p}(\rho_0), R(F_2)_{\text{ab}}) = \mathbb{R}P^3$. Theorem 4.1 implies that the right vertical map is the quotient by α_*, and Theorem 4.4 identifies this map with the quotient $S^2\times S^3 \to (S^2\times S^3)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$, the action given by complex conjugation τ with fixed set $\mathbb{R}P^3$. Hence Diagram (15) is, concretely:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}P^3 & \hookrightarrow & S^2\times S^3 \\ \|{\scriptstyle \tilde{p}_B} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{p}} \\ \mathbb{R}P^3 & \hookrightarrow & (S^2\times S^3)/(\mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Using results from algebraic geometry we can sharpen these identifications as follows. The Narasimhan-Seshadri theorem [19] states that $R(F_g)$ is homeomorphic to the moduli space $\mathscr{U}(2,0)_0$ of semi-stable rank 2, degree 0 holomorphic bundles over F_g with trivial determinant. A theorem of Narasimhan-Ramanan [20] states that $\mathscr{U}(2,0)_0$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^3$. Moreover, in this identification, $R(F_2)_{\text{ab}} \subset R(F_2)$ corresponds to a singular Kummer surface K with 16 nodal surface singularities, obtained as the quotient of the Jacobian of F_2 by an involution with 16 fixed points.

A nodal surface singularity in $\mathbb{C}^3$ takes the local form $x^2+y^2+z^2=0$; this is an isolated singularity with link $\mathbb{R}P^3$. The two fold branched cover of $\mathbb{C}^3$ branched over a nodal surface singularity is the singular 3-fold in $\mathbb{C}^4$ with equation $x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2=0$. The link of this singularity is diffeomorphic to $S^2\times S^3$ (see e.g. [13]) Thus we recover Diagram (16) from the Narasimhan-Ramanan theorem, and in addition conclude, since $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is a 6-manifold, that

$$(S^2\times S^3)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)\cong S^5.$$

The Jacobian of F_2 is $H^1(F_2;\mathbb{R})/H^1(F_2,\mathbb{Z})\cong\mathbb{T}^4$. Hence we recover from Diagram (7) the fact that $R(S^2,6)_B\cong\mathbb{T}^4/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$.

We summarize the previous discussion in the following theorem

Theorem 4.5. *The diagram* (7) *when* $n=3$ *is equivalent to*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 16 \text{ points} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^4/(\mathbb{Z}/2) & \hookrightarrow & R(S^2,6) \\ \| & & \| & & \downarrow \\ 16 \text{ points} & \hookrightarrow & K & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}P^3 \end{array}$$

with $\tilde{p}$ *a 2-fold branched cover branched over the singular Kummer surface* K *with 16 nodal singularities.*

The diagram (15) *of links of any of the 16 singular points, for* $n=3$*, is equivalent to*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}P^3 & \hookrightarrow & S^2\times S^3 \\ \| & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}P^3 & \hookrightarrow & S^5 \end{array}$$

□

Appendix A. Proof of Theorem 2.5

Proof of Theorem 2.5. The map $f:(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{2n-1}\to\mathbb{R}$ given by $f(q_1,\dots,q_{2n-1})=\mathrm{Re}(q_1\dots q_{2n-1})$ is $SU(2)$ invariant and satisfies $f^{-1}(0)/SU(2)\cong R(S^2,2n)$. It is convenient to replace the map f by a slightly simpler map

$$g:(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{2n-2}\to\mathbb{R},\ g(q_2,\dots,q_{2n-1})=\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{i}q_2\dots q_{2n-1}).$$

Since every $q_1\in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{i}$, and since the stabilizer of $\mathbf{i}$ in the conjugation action of $SU(2)$ is the subgroup $S^1=\{e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}\}$,

$$g^{-1}(0)/S^1=f^{-1}(0)/SU(2)\cong R(S^2,2n).$$

The circle S^1 acts on $(S^2)^{2n-2}$ by conjugation on each factor:

$$e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}(q_2,\dots,q_{2n-1})=(e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}q_2e^{-\theta\mathbf{i}},\dots,e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}q_{2n-1}e^{-\theta\mathbf{i}}).$$

Note that -1 acts trivially, and that the induced $S^1/\{\pm\}$ action is free away from the set of 2^{2n-2} fixed points $\Sigma=\{(\pm\mathbf{i},\pm\mathbf{i},\dots,\pm\mathbf{i})\}$. These points correspond precisely to $R(S^2,2n)_{\mathrm{ab}}$. The function g is invariant, and hence $S^1/\{\pm\}$ acts freely on $g^{-1}(0)\setminus\Sigma$.

For any sequence of signs $\epsilon=(\epsilon_2,\dots,\epsilon_{2n-2}),\ \epsilon_i\in\{\pm1\}$, the map

$$\epsilon_*:(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{2n-2}\to(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{2n-2},\ \epsilon_*(q_2,\dots,q_{2n-2})=(\epsilon_2q_2,\dots,\epsilon_{2n-2}q_{2n-2})$$

is an S^1 equivariant homeomorphism which preserves $g^{-1}(0)$ and permutes the points of Σ. Hence it suffices to identify a neighborhood of $(\mathbf{i},\dots,\mathbf{i})$ in $g^{-1}(0)/S^1$; all the other singular points will have homeomorphic neighborhoods.

The (exponential) map $e:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{H}$ given by $e(x+y\mathbf{i})=\mathbf{i}e^{x\mathbf{j}+y\mathbf{k}}$ takes values in $S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$, since if $x+y\mathbf{i}=re^{\theta\mathbf{i}}$, $e(x,y)=\mathbf{i}e^{re^{\theta\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{j}}=\cos r\mathbf{i}+\sin re^{\theta\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{j}\in S^2_{\mathbf{i}}$. It is easy to check that e is a local diffeomorphism near 0, and, since

$$e^{e^{2\theta\mathbf{i}}(x+y\mathbf{i})\mathbf{j}}=e^{e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}(x+y\mathbf{i})\mathbf{j}e^{-\theta\mathbf{i}}}=e^{\theta\mathbf{i}}e^{(x+y\mathbf{i})\mathbf{j}}e^{-\theta\mathbf{i}},$$

e is equivariant with respect to the weight 2 rotation action of S^1 on $\mathbb{R}^2$ ($z\cdot w=z^2w$ for $w\in\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{R}^2$).

We promote e to a map:

$$e:(\mathbb{R}^2)^{2n-2}\to(S^2_{\mathbf{i}})^{2n-2},\ e(x_1,y_1,\dots,x_{2n-2},y_{2n-2})=(\mathbf{i}e^{x_1\mathbf{j}+y_1\mathbf{k}},\dots,\mathbf{i}e^{x_{2n-2}\mathbf{j}+y_{2n-2}\mathbf{k}}).$$

Then e is an equivariant diffeomorphism near 0, taking 0 to $(\mathbf{i},\dots,\mathbf{i})$. We show that the composite $g\circ e$ has a non-degenerate Hessian at 0 with signature 0. Since

$$\mathbf{i}e^{x_{2\ell+1}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell+1}\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}e^{x_{2\ell}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell}\mathbf{k}}=-e^{-(x_{2\ell+1}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell+1}\mathbf{k})}e^{x_{2\ell}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell}\mathbf{k}}$$

it follows that

(17)

$$\begin{aligned}g\circ e(x_1,y_1,\dots,x_{2n-2},y_{2n-2})&=\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{i}e^{x_1\mathbf{j}+y_1\mathbf{k}}\dots\mathbf{i}e^{x_{2n-2}\mathbf{j}+y_{2n-2}\mathbf{k}})\\&=(-1)^{n-1}\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{i}\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1}e^{-(x_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{k})}e^{x_{2\ell}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell}\mathbf{k}})\\&=(-1)^{n-1}\operatorname{Re}\big(\mathbf{i}\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1}(1-x_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{j}-y_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{k}+\tfrac{(x_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{k})^2}{2})(1+x_{2\ell}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell}\mathbf{k}+\tfrac{(x_{2\ell}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell}\mathbf{k})^2}{2})\big)+O(3).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{i}\frac{(x_\ell\mathbf{j}+y_\ell\mathbf{k})^2}{2}))=0=\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{i}(x_\ell\mathbf{j}+y_\ell\mathbf{k})))$,

$$\begin{aligned}g\circ e(x_1,y_1,\dots,x_{2n-2},y_{2n-2})&=(-1)^{n-1}\operatorname{Re}\big(\mathbf{i}\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1}(1-x_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{j}-y_{2\ell-1}\mathbf{k})(1+x_{2\ell}\mathbf{j}+y_{2\ell}\mathbf{k})\big)+O(3)\\&=(-1)^{n-1}\operatorname{Re}\big(\mathbf{i}\sum_{\ell<m}(-1)^{\ell+m}(x_\ell\mathbf{j}+y_\ell\mathbf{k})(x_m\mathbf{j}+y_m\mathbf{k})\big)+O(3)\\&=(-1)^{n-1}\sum_{\ell<m}(-1)^{\ell+m}(y_\ell x_m-x_\ell y_m)+O(3)\end{aligned}$$

Reorder the variables in the order $(x_1,\dots,x_{2n-2},y_1,\dots,y_{2n-2})$. Then the Hessian of $(-1)^{n-1}g\circ e$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}0&A\\A^T&0\end{pmatrix}$$

where A is a $(2m-2)\times(2m-2)$ matrix with

$$A_{i,j}=(-1)^{n-1}\frac{\partial^2(g\circ e)}{\partial y_j\partial x_i}=\begin{cases}0&\text{if } i=j,\\(-1)^{i+j+1}&\text{if } i>j,\\(-1)^{i+j}&\text{if } i<j.\end{cases}$$

If A is invertible, then the Hessian of $g \circ e$ is non-degenerate with signature 0. The mod 2 reduction B of A is a matrix with 0 in the diagonal entries and 1s in all off diagonal entries. Then B^2 is given by

$$(B^2)_{i,j} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n-2} B_{i,\ell} B_{\ell j} = \begin{cases} 2n-4 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 2n-3 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

That is, B^2 is the identity matrix (over $\mathbb{F}_2$), and so $\det(A^2)$ is an odd integer, hence non-zero, so that A is invertible.

The Morse lemma now shows that after a change of coordinates $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^2)^{2n-2} \cong (\mathbb{R}^2)^{2n-2}$,

$$g \circ e \circ \phi(u_1, \dots, u_{4n-4}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} u_i^2 - \sum_{i=2n-1}^{4n-4} u_i^2$$

in a neighborhood of 0. Thus, near $(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})$, $g^{-1}(0)$ is a cone on $S^{2n-3} \times S^{2n-3}$. Since $S^1/\{\pm 1\}$ acts freely away from the cone point, a neighborhood of $(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})$ in $g^{-1}(0)/S^1 \cong R(S^2, 2n)$ is isomorphic to a cone on $(S^{2n-3} \times S^{2n-3})/S^1$ for some free action of S^1. As we described before, this shows that each point in $R(S^2, 2n)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ has such a neighborhood in $R(S^2, 2n)$. □

Appendix B. Proof of Theorem 3.3

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Conjugation by $\mathbf{k}$ leaves the binary dihedral subgroup B invariant. Moreover it fixes the subgroup $\{e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\}$ and acts by -1 on the coset $\{e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$. hence if $\rho \in R(S^2, 2n)$ takes values in B, then $\alpha(\gamma)\rho(\gamma) = \mathbf{k}\rho(\gamma)(-\mathbf{k})$ and so α_* fixes $R(S^2, 2n)_B$.

Conversely, choose a conjugacy class $[\rho] \in R(S^2, 2n)$ fixed by α_* and let ρ be a representation in this class. Hence there exists a $g \in SU(2)$ so that $\rho(x_i)\alpha(x_i) = g\rho(x_i)g^{-1}$ for all i. Since $\alpha(x_i) = -1$, this is equivalent to $[g, \rho(x_i)] = -1$. It is easy to see that $a, b \in SU(2)$ satisfy $[a, b] = -1$ if and only if $\mathrm{Re}(a) = \mathrm{Re}(b) = \mathrm{Re}(ab) = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Re}(g) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(g\rho(x_i)) = 0$ for all i. By conjugating, we may assume that $g = \mathbf{k}$. The equation $\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{k}\rho(x_i)) = 0$ implies that each $\rho(x_i)$ lies in the circle $\{e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$. Hence the generators all are sent to B and so $[\rho] \in R(S^2, 2n)_B$.

For the second statement, suppose that $[\rho_0], [\rho_1] \in R(S^2, 2n)$ satisfy $\tilde{p}([\rho_0]) = \tilde{p}([\rho_1])$. By Proposition 3.2 this happens if and only if $p^*([\rho_0]) = p^*([\rho_1])$, that is, if and only if the restrictions of ρ_0 and ρ_1 to $\ker \alpha$ are conjugate. By conjugating ρ_1 if necessary, we may assume that the representatives are chosen so that the restrictions $\rho_0|_{\ker \alpha}$ and $\rho_1|_{\ker \alpha}$ agree. For convenience denote $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{a_i\})$ simply by π.

Consider first the case when $\rho_0(x_1) = \pm\rho_1(x_1)$. Since $x_i x_1^{-1} \in \ker \alpha$,

$$\rho_0(x_i) = \rho_0(x_i x_1^{-1})\rho_0(x_1) = \rho_1(x_i x_1^{-1})\rho_0(x_1) = \begin{cases} \rho_1(x_i) & \text{if } \rho_0(x_1) = \rho_1(x_1) \\ \alpha(x_i)\rho_1(x_i) & \text{if } \rho_0(x_1) = -\rho_1(x_1) \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

and hence either $\rho_0 = \rho_1$ or $\rho_0 = \alpha_*(\rho_1)$.

Consider next the case when $\rho_0(x_1) \neq \pm\rho_1(x_1)$. Since $\mathrm{Re}(\rho_0(x_1)) = 0 = \mathrm{Re}(\rho_1(x_1))$, there exists a $g \in SU(2)$ so that after conjugating both ρ_0 and ρ_1 by g,

$$\rho_0(x_1) = \mathbf{i} \text{ and } \rho_1(x_1) = e^{\theta \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}$$

for some $\theta \in (0, \pi)$.

Then

$$0 = \operatorname{Re}(\rho_0(x_i)) = \operatorname{Re}(\rho_0(x_i x_1^{-1})\rho_0(x_1)) = \operatorname{Re}(\rho_0(x_i x_1^{-1})\mathbf{i}) \tag{19}$$

and similarly

$$0 = \operatorname{Re}(\rho_1(x_i x_1^{-1})e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}). \tag{20}$$

Since $\alpha(x_i x_1^{-1}) = 1$, $\rho_0(x_i x_1^{-1}) = \rho_1(x_i x_1^{-1})$ and so Equations (19) and (20) together with the fact that $e^{\theta\mathbf{k}} \neq \pm 1$ imply that $\rho_0(x_i x_1^{-1}) = e^{\beta_i \mathbf{k}}$ for some β_i. Then

$$\rho_0(x_i) = \rho_0(x_i x_1^{-1})\rho_0(x_1) = e^{\beta_i \mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}$$

and

$$\rho_1(x_i) = \rho_1(x_i x_1^{-1})\rho_0(x_1) = e^{\beta_i \mathbf{k}} e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\theta\mathbf{k}}\rho_0(x_i)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta\mathbf{k}}.$$

Since the x_i generate π, this shows that ρ_0 and ρ_1 are conjugate, i.e. $[\rho_0] = [\rho_1]$. Notice that in this case $[\rho_0], [\rho_1] \in R(S^2, 2n)_B$.

Finally, we prove the third statement. By definition $[\rho] \in R(S^2, 2n)_B$ is the conjugacy class of a representation $\rho : \pi \to B = \{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\} \cup \{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$ which takes each x_i into the coset $\{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$. The composite of ρ with the obvious surjective homomorphism $B \to \{\pm 1\}$ is precisely α, hence $\rho(\ker\alpha) \subset \{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\}$, this shows that $p^*(\rho)$ is abelian. Clearly c_* and i^* take representations with abelian image to representations with abelian image, showing that $\tilde{p}(R(S^2, 2n)_B) \subset R(F_{n-1})_{\mathrm{ab}}$.

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{p}(\rho) \in R(F_{n-1})_{\mathrm{ab}}$. We may conjugate ρ so that $\tilde{p}(\rho) \subset \{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\}$.

If $\rho(x_i) = \pm\rho(x_j)$ for each pair of indices i, j, then ρ is abelian, hence lies in $R(S^2, 2n)_B$. Suppose instead for some indices i, j, $\rho(x_i) \neq \pm\rho(x_j)$. Then $\rho(x_i x_j) = e^{\beta\mathbf{k}}$ for some β satisfying $\sin\theta \neq 0$. Since

$$0 = -\operatorname{Re}(\rho(x_j)) = \operatorname{Re}(\rho(x_i)^2\rho(x_j)) = \operatorname{Re}(\rho(x_i)\rho(x_i x_j)) = \operatorname{Re}(\rho(x_i)e^{\beta\mathbf{k}}) = \sin\beta\operatorname{Re}(\rho(x_i)\mathbf{k}),$$

it follows that $\rho(x_i)$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{k}$, and hence lies in $\{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$. A similar computation shows that $\rho(x_j)$ lies in $\{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$. If ℓ is another index, then either $\rho(x_\ell) = \pm\rho(x_i)$, and hence $\rho(x_\ell)$ lies in $\{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$, or $\rho(x_\ell) \neq \pm\rho(x_i)$ and, as before, lies in $\{e^{\theta\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{i}\}$. We conclude that $\rho \in R(S^2, 2n)_B$, as desired. □

References

[1] M. Atiyah and R. Bott *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces.* Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 308 (1983), no. 1505, 523–615.

[2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy, "Real algebraic geometry." Translated from the 1987 French original. Revised by the authors. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 36. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. x+430 pp.

[3] M. Culler and P. Shalen, *Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds.* Ann. of Math. (2) 117 (1983), no. 1, 109–146.

[4] Y. Fukumoto, P. Kirk, and J. Pinzón-Caicedo, *Traceless SU(2) representations of 2-stranded tangles.* Preprint (2013). `http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.6042`

[5] W. M. Goldman, *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces.* Adv. Math. 54 (1984), 200–225.

[6] R. Goldstein and L. Lininger, *Actions on 2-connected 6-manifolds.* Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), 499–504.

[7] C. Herald, and P. Kirk, *Holonomy perturbations in a cylinder, and regularity for traceless SU(2) character varieties of tangles.* `http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.00308`

[8] C. Herald, M. Hedden, and P. Kirk, *The pillowcase and perturbations of traceless representations of knot groups.* Geom. Topol. 18 (2014), no. 1, 211-287.
[9] C. Herald, M. Hedden, and P. Kirk, *The pillowcase and perturbations of traceless representations of knot groups II: a Lagrangian-Floer theory in the pillowcase.* To appear in J. Symplect. Geom. Preprint. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.00028`.
[10] M. Heusener, *An orientation for the $SU(2)$-representation space of knot groups.*
[11] M. Heusener and J. Kroll, *Deforming abelian SU(2)-representations of knot groups.* Comment. Math. Helv. 73 (1998), no. 3, 480–498.
[12] M. Jacobsson and R. L. Rubinsztein, *Symplectic topology of SU(2)-representation varieties and link homology, I: Symplectic braid action and the first Chern class.* Preprint (2008), `http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.2902`.
[13] L. Kauffman, *Link manifolds.* Michigan Math. J. 21 (1974), 33–44.
[14] P. Kirk and E. Klassen, *Representation spaces of Seifert fibered homology spheres.* Topology 30 (1991), no. 1, 77–95.
[15] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, *Knot homology groups from instantons.* J. Topol. 4 (2011) 835–918.
[16] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, *Khovanov homology is an unknot-detector.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. (2011) 97–208.
[17] A. Lubotzky and A. Magid, *Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1985), no. 336, xi+117 pp.
[18] X. S. Lin, *A knot invariant via representation spaces.* J. Differential Geometry 35 (1992), no. 2, 337–357.
[19] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface.* Ann. of Math. (2) 82 1965 540–567.
[20] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, *Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface.* Ann. of Math. (2) 89 1969 14–51.
[21] P. Poudel and N. Saveliev, *Link homology and equivariant Gauge theory.* Preprint (2015). `http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03116`
[22] P. Seidel *Lectures on Four-Dimensional Dehn Twists* in "Symplectic 4-manifolds and algebraic surfaces", 231–267, Lecture Notes in Math., 1938, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405
E-mail address: `pkirk@indiana.edu`